



University of
Zurich^{UZH}

Zurich Open Repository and
Archive

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2019

Expression of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* immune receptor EFR in *Medicago truncatula* reduces infection by a root pathogenic bacterium, but not nitrogen-fixing rhizobial symbiosis

Pfeilmeier, Sebastian ; George, Geoffrey ; Morel, Arry ; Roy, Sonali ; Smoker, Matthew ; Stransfeld, Lena ; Downie, J Allan ; Peeters, Nemo ; Malone, Jacob G ; Zipfel, Cyril

Abstract: Interfamily transfer of plant pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) represents a promising biotechnological approach to engineer broad-spectrum, and potentially durable, disease resistance in crops. It is however unclear whether new recognition specificities to given pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) affect the interaction of the recipient plant with beneficial microbes. To test this in a direct reductionist approach, we transferred the Brassicaceae-specific PRR ELONGATION FACTOR-THERMO UNSTABLE RECEPTOR (EFR), conferring recognition of the bacterial EF-Tu protein, from *Arabidopsis thaliana* to the legume *Medicago truncatula*. Constitutive EFR expression led to EFR accumulation and activation of immune responses upon treatment with the EF-Tu-derived elf18 peptide in leaves and roots. The interaction of *M. truncatula* with the bacterial symbiont *Sinorhizobium meliloti* is characterized by the formation of root nodules that fix atmospheric nitrogen. Although nodule numbers were slightly reduced at an early stage of the infection in EFR-*Medicago* when compared to control lines, nodulation was similar in all lines at later stages. Furthermore, nodule colonization by rhizobia, and nitrogen fixation were not compromised by EFR expression. Importantly, the *M. truncatula* lines expressing EFR were substantially more resistant to the root bacterial pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Our data suggest that the transfer of EFR to *M. truncatula* does not impede root nodule symbiosis, but has a positive impact on disease resistance against a bacterial pathogen. In addition, our results indicate that *Rhizobium* can either avoid PAMP recognition during the infection process, or is able to actively suppress immune signaling.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12999>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-167453>

Journal Article

Published Version




The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

Pfeilmeier, Sebastian; George, Geoffrey; Morel, Arry; Roy, Sonali; Smoker, Matthew; Stransfeld, Lena; Downie, J Allan; Peeters, Nemo; Malone, Jacob G; Zipfel, Cyril (2019). Expression of the *Arabidopsis*

thaliana immune receptor EFR in *Medicago truncatula* reduces infection by a root pathogenic bacterium, but not nitrogen-fixing rhizobial symbiosis. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, 17(3):569-579.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12999>

Expression of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* immune receptor *EFR* in *Medicago truncatula* reduces infection by a root pathogenic bacterium, but not nitrogen-fixing rhizobial symbiosis

Sebastian Pfeilmeier^{1,2,†}, Geoffrey George¹, Aray Morel^{3,4}, Sonali Roy^{2,‡}, Matthew Smoker¹, Lena Stransfeld^{1,5}, J. Allan Downie², Nemo Peeters^{3,4}, Jacob G. Malone^{2,6} and Cyril Zipfel^{1,5,*} 

¹The Sainsbury Laboratory, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, UK

²John Innes Centre, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, UK

³INRA, Laboratoire des Interactions Plantes Micro-organismes (LIPM), UMR441, Castanet-Tolosan, France

⁴CNRS, Laboratoire des Interactions Plantes Micro-organismes (LIPM), UMR2594, Castanet-Tolosan, France

⁵Institute of Plant and Microbial Biology & Zurich-Basel Plant Science Center, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

⁶School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK

Received 21 December 2017;

revised 11 July 2018;

accepted 13 August 2018.

*Correspondence (Tel +41 (0)44 63 48222; email cyril.zipfel@botinst.uzh.ch)

[†]Present address: Institute of Microbiology, Department of Biology, ETH Zurich, Zurich, 8093, Switzerland.

[‡]Present address: Noble Research Institute, Ardmore, OK, USA

Summary

Interfamily transfer of plant pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) represents a promising biotechnological approach to engineer broad-spectrum, and potentially durable, disease resistance in crops. It is however unclear whether new recognition specificities to given pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) affect the interaction of the recipient plant with beneficial microbes. To test this in a direct reductionist approach, we transferred the *Brassicaceae*-specific PRR ELONGATION FACTOR-THERMO UNSTABLE RECEPTOR (EFR), conferring recognition of the bacterial EF-Tu protein, from *Arabidopsis thaliana* to the legume *Medicago truncatula*. Constitutive *EFR* expression led to *EFR* accumulation and activation of immune responses upon treatment with the EF-Tu-derived elf18 peptide in leaves and roots. The interaction of *M. truncatula* with the bacterial symbiont *Sinorhizobium meliloti* is characterized by the formation of root nodules that fix atmospheric nitrogen. Although nodule numbers were slightly reduced at an early stage of the infection in *EFR-Medicago* when compared to control lines, nodulation was similar in all lines at later stages. Furthermore, nodule colonization by rhizobia, and nitrogen fixation were not compromised by *EFR* expression. Importantly, the *M. truncatula* lines expressing *EFR* were substantially more resistant to the root bacterial pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Our data suggest that the transfer of *EFR* to *M. truncatula* does not impede root nodule symbiosis, but has a positive impact on disease resistance against a bacterial pathogen. In addition, our results indicate that *Rhizobium* can either avoid PAMP recognition during the infection process, or is able to actively suppress immune signaling.

Keywords: immunity, PAMPs, PRRs, symbiosis, disease resistance, biotechnology.

Introduction

Plant pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) perceive conserved characteristic microbial features, termed pathogen- or microbe-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs or MAMPs), and trigger an immune response commonly referred to as PAMP- or pattern-triggered immunity (PTI). This confers basal disease resistance against adapted pathogens and plays a major role in non-host resistance against non-adapted pathogens (Boutrot and Zipfel, 2017; Lee *et al.*, 2017). Typically, plant PRRs are receptor kinases (RKs) or receptor-like proteins (RLPs), which consist of an extracellular ligand binding domain, a transmembrane domain, and an intracellular kinase (in the case of RKs) or a cytoplasmic C-terminal extension (in the case of RLPs; Boutrot and Zipfel, 2017). While some PRRs, such as FLAGELLIN SENSING 2 (FLS2,

which detects the PAMP epitope flg22 from bacterial flagellin) are present in all higher plant species, others have only evolved in certain plant families (Boller and Felix, 2009; Boutrot and Zipfel, 2017). For example, the ELONGATION FACTOR-TU RECEPTOR (EFR), which recognizes the highly abundant and conserved bacterial protein EF-Tu (or the PAMP epitope elf18) and has been identified in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, seems to be present only in *Brassicaceae* (Boller and Felix, 2009). Plants recognise a wide variety of PAMPs, and it is becoming increasingly clear with the identification of new plant PRRs that many PRRs have evolved in a family- or even species-specific manner (Boutrot and Zipfel, 2017). Based on these observations, the ability to transfer novel PAMP recognition capabilities across plant species, families or even classes, represents a promising biotechnological strategy to engineer broad-spectrum (and potentially durable) disease

Please cite this article as: Pfeilmeier, S., George, J., Morel, A., Roy, S., Smoker, M., Stransfeld, L., Allan Downie, J., Peeters, N., Malone, J.G. and Zipfel, C. (2018) Expression of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* immune receptor *EFR* in *Medicago truncatula* reduces infection by a root pathogenic bacterium, but not nitrogen-fixing rhizobial symbiosis. *Plant Biotechnol. J.*, <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12999>

resistance in crops (Boutrot and Zipfel, 2017; Dangl *et al.*, 2013; Michelmore *et al.*, 2017; Rodriguez-Moreno *et al.*, 2017). For example, the transgenic expression of *EFR* in other plant species, such as tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*), *Nicotiana benthamiana*, wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), or rice (*Oryza sativa*) confers elf18 recognition and quantitative resistance to a range of bacterial pathogens including *Ralstonia solanacearum*, *Pseudomonas syringae*, *Xanthomonas perforans*, *X. oryzae* and *Acidovorax avenae* (Lacombe *et al.*, 2010; Lu *et al.*, 2015; Schoonbeek *et al.*, 2015; Schwessinger *et al.*, 2015; Zipfel *et al.*, 2006). In addition, the PRR XA21 (which recognises the tyrosine-sulfated peptide RaxX; Pruitt *et al.*, 2015) from the wild rice *O. longistaminata* confers increased resistance against *Xanthomonas* spp. when expressed in banana (*Musa* sp.), sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*) or *N. benthamiana* (Holton *et al.*, 2015; Mendes *et al.*, 2010; Tripathi *et al.*, 2014). Similarly, the PRR ELICITIN RECEPTOR (ELR) from the wild potato *S. microdontum* or the *A. thaliana* PRR RECEPTOR-LIKE PROTEIN 23 (RLP23, which recognises the taxonomically conserved peptide nlp20) confer increased resistance to the oomycete *Phytophthora infestans* when expressed in cultivated potato (*S. tuberosum*) (Albert *et al.*, 2015; Du *et al.*, 2015). These recent selected examples illustrate that PRRs normally restricted to specific plant taxonomic lineages can remain functional when expressed in other plant species. Beyond the biotechnological usefulness of this property, this also illustrates that immune signaling components acting downstream of PRRs must be (at least partially) functionally conserved.

While plants must constantly defend themselves against potential invaders, they also form close interactions with beneficial microbes, in what is commonly referred to as the plant microbiome (Hacquard *et al.*, 2017; Müller *et al.*, 2016). While all plants express PRRs as part of their innate immune system, it is however still unclear whether the engineering of novel PAMP recognition specificities through heterologous PRR expression affects the beneficial interaction of plants with commensal microbes.

The symbiosis between rhizobia and legumes is a defined and well understood interaction involving mutual communication. The symbiotic interaction starts with plant roots secreting chemical signals, including flavonoids, to attract host-compatible rhizobia. In turn, rhizobia produce symbiosis-inducing Nod factor, which is perceived by the plant and triggers two independent, yet coordinated, developmental processes: nodule organogenesis and bacterial infection (Madsen *et al.*, 2010; Murray *et al.*, 2007; Oldroyd *et al.*, 2011; Radutoiu *et al.*, 2003; Tirichine *et al.*, 2006). Bacteria attach to the root hair tip and form microcolonies, from which they invade the plant tissue by growing inside a tubular structure called an infection thread. In parallel to the root hair infection, plant cortical cells divide and develop a new organ, known as a nodule, which ultimately accommodates the rhizobia. In mature nodules, bacteria live as membrane-encased bacteroids and fix atmospheric nitrogen making it available to the plant (Oldroyd *et al.*, 2011; Udvardi and Poole, 2013). The harmonious interplay between both organisms requires continuous signal exchange and can be terminated at various stages (Cao *et al.*, 2017; Ferguson *et al.*, 2018; Gibson *et al.*, 2008).

Although the role of PAMP perception and immune signaling during symbiosis has not been extensively studied, there is accumulating evidence to suggest that rhizobia are initially perceived as potential invaders (Cao *et al.*, 2017; Gourion *et al.*, 2015). The apparent overlap of components and concepts

between immunity and symbiosis signaling pathways in legumes is both intriguing, and relevant to the question about the importance of PAMP recognition during these contrasting processes (Zipfel and Oldroyd, 2017). Rhizobia are clearly capable of eliciting PTI, because suspension cultures of *Mesorhizobium loti* can trigger defense-associated responses in the legume *Lotus japonicus*, such as ethylene production, MAP kinase (MAPK) activation and immune gene transcription in a similar way to the flg22 peptide (Lopez-Gomez *et al.*, 2012). In addition, PTI signaling triggered by exogenous application of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*-derived flg22 peptide delays nodulation and reduces nodule numbers during the symbiosis between *L. japonicus* and *M. loti* (Lopez-Gomez *et al.*, 2012). Transcriptional studies in different legume species also reported the transient upregulation of immune-related genes upon first encounter with its rhizobial symbiont, followed by a downregulation during the onset of symbiosis. For example, immune and stress-related genes in *M. truncatula* roots were upregulated 1 h post-inoculation (hpi) and subsequently downregulated to a minimal level at 12 hpi in response to *S. meliloti* inoculation (Lohar *et al.*, 2006). Similarly, transcriptome analysis of root hair cells from soybean (Libault *et al.*, 2010) and *M. truncatula* (Breakspear *et al.*, 2014) showed induction of defense genes 24 hpi, then a marked reduction at later time-points after infection with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* and *S. meliloti*, respectively. Interestingly, the early activation of plant defense may play a role in the selection of symbionts and endophytes versus pathogens during the early stages of the rhizobia-legume interaction (Zgadzaj *et al.*, 2015).

To test directly in a reductionist approach whether a novel PAMP recognition ability affects the symbiotic interaction between legumes and rhizobia, we expressed the *A. thaliana* *EFR* (*EFR*) gene in *M. truncatula* to engineer the perception of EF-Tu (or elf18 peptide) from its symbiont *S. meliloti*. After confirming *EFR* functionality, we then tested if *EFR* expression had an impact on rhizobial infection and the symbiotic interaction. While infection was unaffected, nodulation was slightly reduced at an early time-point, but recovered fully by the later stages of symbiosis. Importantly, rhizobia in nodulated *EFR-Medicago* plants fixed atmospheric nitrogen as efficiently as in control plants. Despite the lack of effect on rhizobial infection and nodulation, *EFR* expression conferred quantitative resistance to the bacterial root pathogen *R. solanacearum*, suggesting that the transfer of PRRs is an efficient biotechnological tool to confer increased legume resistance to pathogens, with minimal impact on symbiotic interactions.

Results

Transgenic expression of *EFR* in *Medicago truncatula* confers elf18 recognition in leaves and roots

To study the effect of *EFR* expression on symbiotic and pathogenic interactions with *M. truncatula*, we stably transformed *M. truncatula* ecotype R108 with pCaMV35S::*EFR*-HA by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation (Cosson *et al.*, 2006). Two independently transformed lines with a single insertion event were isolated (lines 26-8 and 18-1) and characterized alongside their respective null segregants as controls (lines 26-2 and 18-3). Transgenic *EFR*-*Medicago* plants showed similar growth and development as their control lines (Figure S1), and *EFR* accumulation could be detected in leaf and root tissue of both *EFR*-*Medicago* lines by western blot analysis (Figure 1a,b). *EFR* specifically perceives the PAMP elf18 from various bacterial

species (Figure S2), including the *M. truncatula* symbiont *S. meliloti*, and initiates immune signaling (Kunze *et al.*, 2004; Lacombe *et al.*, 2010). Transgenic *EFR-Medicago* plants responded to local treatment with the elf18 peptide by production of a transient burst of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in leaves (Figure 1c) and roots (Figure 1d). We also tested responsiveness to the PAMP flg22, and confirmed that all lines responded to the peptide (Figure S3), showing that the presence of EFR does not interfere with the function of an endogenous PRR (i.e. FLS2). In addition, phosphorylation of MAPKs and induction of immune-related genes were observed in the *EFR*-expressing lines 18-1 and 26-8 only after elf18 treatment (Figure S4), showing that these lines do not exhibit constitutive activation of immune signaling. These results show that EFR is functional in *M. truncatula* as it provides responsiveness to the PAMP elf18.

EFR expression does not affect the long-term symbiosis between *S. meliloti* and *M. truncatula*

We next tested whether heterologous expression of EFR affects the symbiosis between *S. meliloti* and *M. truncatula*. Expression of *EFR* in *M. truncatula* did not have a negative effect on plant growth after inoculation with *S. meliloti*, as the plant phenotype and fresh weight were similar in *EFR*-expressing and control plants when symbiosis was established at 4 weeks after inoculation (Figure 2a,b). *EFR* expression is driven by the ubiquitous *CaMV35S* promoter, and we were able to detect EFR accumulation in different root tissues, such as the main root, lateral roots and nodules (Figure S5).

Next, we looked at different stages of the rhizobial infection and the nodulation process. Perception of PAMPs and PTI signaling presumably happens at the beginning of an infection, when the plant first encounters the microbe. We therefore tested whether EFR recognition affects symbiotic interaction at this

early stage. The formation of micro-colonies at the root hair tip, the number of infection threads and nodule primordia were similar between *EFR-Medicago* and the control lines (Figure 3a). Scoring total nodule numbers of the root at an early time-point (10 dpi) we observed a small, but significant, reduction in *EFR-Medicago* lines compared to control lines (Figure 3b). Total nodule numbers were reduced by 35% in line 26-8 and by 25% in line 18-1. Importantly, all nodules were colonized by rhizobia, as detected by staining for β -galactosidase activity in nodules colonized by the *S. meliloti* strain 1021-*lacZ*, and the spectrum of nodule morphology was similar for all lines. Notably, we observed a significant difference between the transformed null segregant control line 18-3 and the untransformed wild-type (Figure 3b), which encouraged us to use the null segregants as an appropriate control to avoid artefacts that could be linked to the genetic transformation and/or the associated *in vitro* culture process.

We next assessed nodulation during the later stages of symbiosis. Four weeks after inoculation, symbiosis is well established and nodules are actively fixing atmospheric nitrogen (Oldroyd *et al.*, 2011). By this stage, there was no difference in total nodule numbers between either of the *EFR-Medicago* lines and their respective controls (Figure 3c). In addition, we measured the enzymatic activity of rhizobial nitrogenase inside nodules, which can be used as an indicator of the nitrogen fixation rate (Price *et al.*, 2015). Notably, root systems from *EFR-Medicago* and from the control lines fixed nitrogen at similar rates (Figure 3d). In addition, the nodule morphology was similar in all lines, and no macroscopic signs of defense phenotypes or early senescence could be observed.

Together, our results thus indicate that *EFR* expression in *M. truncatula* may cause a slight initial delay in nodule formation, but overall does not negatively affect either rhizobial infection or long term-nitrogen-fixing symbiosis.




Figure 1 Transgenic *EFR-Medicago* responds to elf18 peptide. Western blot of leaf (a) and root (b) material from indicated lines using α -HA antibody to detect AteFR-HA. Blot was stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) as loading control. This experiment was repeated four times with similar results. ROS burst was monitored in (c) leaf discs and (d) root segments from lines 26-8 (left panels) and 18-1 (right panels) after 100 nM elf18 treatment and displayed as relative light units (RLU). Values are means \pm standard error ($n = 8$). The experiment was done three times.




Figure 2 *EFR* expression does not affect development and fresh weight of *M. truncatula* infected with *S. meliloti*. (a) Plant pictures and (b) fresh weight was assessed of 5-week-old *M. truncatula* plants expressing *EFR* (26-8 and 18-1) and respective control lines (26-2 and 18-3) inoculated with *Sm1021-lacZ* and harvested at 28 dpi. White scale bar, 5 cm. The experiment was done three times.

EFR expression increases the resistance of *M. truncatula* to the bacterial root pathogen *R. solanacearum*

The proteobacterium *R. solanacearum* is a root pathogen that causes bacterial wilt disease in different plant species including *M. truncatula* (Mansfield *et al.*, 2012; Vailleau *et al.*, 2007). *M. truncatula* plants infected with *R. solanacearum* develop disease symptoms such as chlorosis and wilting, ultimately leading to plant death (Vailleau *et al.*, 2007). To test whether *EFR* can protect *M. truncatula* against bacterial pathogens, we infected *EFR-Medicago* and control lines with *R. solanacearum* GMI1000, and monitored disease progression and survival of the plants over several days. *EFR*-expressing plants displayed a consistently higher survival rate than plants from the control lines (Figure 4a,b). Although these results were only statistically significant for line 26-8 (based on Mantel-Cox test), we observed a tendency for slower disease progression in line 18-1 across five independent experiments (Figure 4b and Figure S6). A possible explanation for the enhanced disease resistance of line 26-8 compared to the transgenic line 18-3 may be the different *EFR* accumulation levels in these plants (Figure 1a,b and Figure S3), which also translate into stronger elf18-induced ROS production in the root of line 26-8 compared to the line 18-1 (Figure S7).

Overall, as previously observed with other *EFR*-expressing plant species infected with bacterial pathogens (Lacombe *et al.*, 2010; Lu *et al.*, 2015; Schoonbeek *et al.*, 2015; Schwessinger *et al.*, 2015; Zipfel *et al.*, 2006), *EFR* conferred increased quantitative resistance, delayed disease progression and increased survival under our experimental conditions.

Discussion

Many PRRs have been successfully expressed in heterologous hosts across taxonomically diverse plant species to improve disease resistance, and PRRs have thus become attractive tools as part of the biotechnological arsenal to genetically engineer disease resistance in crops (Boutrot and Zipfel, 2017; Dangl *et al.*, 2013; Micheltore *et al.*, 2017; Rodriguez-Moreno *et al.*, 2017). It was however still unclear whether such PRR transfer may negatively impact the association of the recipient plants with symbiotic microbes.

In this study, we generated transgenic *M. truncatula* lines that express the heterologous PRR *EFR* to confer recognition of the endogenous PAMP EF-Tu from the symbiotic bacterium *S. meliloti*. These *EFR-Medicago* plants recognized elf18 peptide and initiated a PAMP-induced ROS burst in both leaves and roots (Figure 1c,d). As previously reported (Holton *et al.*, 2015;




Figure 3 Symbiosis between *M. truncatula* and *S. meliloti* is not affected by *EFR* expression. (a) Infection events were scored at 7 dpi on roots of *M. truncatula* lines expressing *EFR* (26-8 and 18-1) and control lines (26-2 and 18-3) infected with *Sm1021-lacZ*. MC: micro-colonies. IT: infection threads. N: nodule primordia. Data from three independent experiments (each $n = 10$) were combined. (b) Total nodules were scored at 10 dpi on roots of *M. truncatula* lines expressing *EFR* (26-8 and 18-1), control lines (26-2 and 18-3) and untransformed wild-type R108 infected with *Sm1021-lacZ*. Data from three independent experiments (each $n = 25$) were combined. Letters indicate statistical significance groups with $P < 0.05$ after One-way ANOVA (Kruskal–Wallis’s test and Dunn’s multiple comparison). (c) Total nodules were scored at 28 dpi on roots of *M. truncatula* lines expressing *EFR* (26-8 and 18-1) and control lines (26-2 and 18-3) infected with *Sm1021-lacZ*. One-way ANOVA with $P < 0.05$ did not indicate statistical significant differences. (d) Acetylene reduction to ethylene was measured on whole plants of *M. truncatula* lines expressing *EFR* (26-8 and 18-1) and control lines (26-2 and 18-3) infected with *Sm1021-lacZ* at 28 dpi. Production of ethylene is displayed as relative units (RU) per pink nodules of each root system. One-way ANOVA with $P < 0.05$ did not indicate statistical significant differences. The experiments were performed three times.

Schwessinger *et al.*, 2015), these results indicate components involved in the PTI signaling pathway (and in PRR biogenesis) are also present and conserved in the root and leaves of *M. truncatula*, as they enable the functionality of *EFR*.

Legumes benefit from the symbiosis with rhizobia during growth in nitrogen-limited soil due to the additional bioavailable nitrogen supplied by the bacteria. The growth and development of plants with an established symbiosis was similar between transgenic *EFR-Medicago* and control lines. Therefore, we concluded that *EFR* expression did not exert a negative effect on growth of infected plants, or on long-term symbiosis (Figure 2a,b). Likewise, early interaction events were unaltered by *EFR* expression. There was no major difference in the occurrence of micro-colonies, infection threads and nodule primordia formation between *EFR-Medicago* and control lines (Figure 3a). Although infection and nodulation are triggered simultaneously, both processes belong to different developmental programs (Murray *et al.*, 2007; Tirichine *et al.*, 2006). Interestingly, at an early time-point after rhizobial inoculation nodule numbers were slightly reduced in *EFR* expressing lines (Figure 3b). However, at later stages of symbiosis nodule numbers were similar in all lines (Figure 3c). These data indicate that while nodulation might be delayed at early stages, the expression of *EFR* did not impede long-term nodulation. Importantly, the nitrogen-fixation capacity of nodules was unaffected by *EFR* expression (Figure 3d).

The absence of detrimental effects of *EFR* expression on rhizobial nitrogen-fixing symbiosis may at first appear counterintuitive. Indeed, the EF-Tu-derived *EFR* ligand *elf18* from *S. meliloti* is able to induce immune responses (Kunze *et al.*, 2004; Lacombe *et al.*, 2010), and it has previously been shown that elicitation of PTI using exogenous PAMP treatment can affect the interaction between rhizobia and legumes. For example, application of *flg22* peptide or *M. loti* cells to *L. japonicus* triggered similar PTI responses leading to a delay in nodule formation and reduced nodule numbers (Lopez-Gomez *et al.*, 2012). Furthermore, co-inoculation experiments in *M. truncatula* recently showed that the pathogenic bacterium *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* (Pto) DC3000 induces immune responses and suppresses the establishment of the symbiosis with *S. meliloti* (Chen *et al.*, 2017). In addition, constitutive activation of immune responses in *M. truncatula* in specific mutants or over-expression lines impairs nodule formation and symbiosis (Berrabah *et al.*, 2014, 2015; Bourcy *et al.*, 2013; Domonkos *et al.*, 2013; Ryu *et al.*, 2017; Wang *et al.*, 2016). Interestingly, nodulation in *M. truncatula* was only impaired when plants were co-treated with the PAMP peptide *flg22* together with *M. loti*, but not when *flg22* was applied after symbiosis was established. PAMP treatment seems to delay nodule development rather than impairing rhizobial fitness, as spontaneously nodulating *snf1* mutant plants were similarly affected by *flg22* treatment (Lopez-Gomez *et al.*, 2012). It thus appears that the timing of PTI activation and symbiotic signaling




Figure 4 EFR expression in *M. truncatula* provides quantitative resistance against the pathogen *R. solanacearum*. (a) *M. truncatula* lines expressing EFR 26-8 and control line 26-2 were infected with *R. solanacearum* GMI1000 and disease symptoms assessed daily. Survival rate is displayed over 9 days and statistical analysis performed with Mantel-Cox test, $P = 0.0013$ ($n = 25$). Experiment was repeated four times with similar results. (b) *M. truncatula* lines expressing EFR 18-1 and control line 18-3 were infected with *R. solanacearum* GMI1000 and disease symptoms assessed daily. Survival rate is displayed over time and statistical analysis performed with Mantel-Cox test, $P = 0.121$ ($n = 25$). Dashed lines represent 95% confidence interval. Experiment was performed four times for 26-2 and 26-8, and five times for 18-3 and 18-1, with similar tendency (Figure S6).

may be important to study the impact of PAMP recognition on symbiosis. In this context, it is important to note that EFR expression in recipient transgenic plants does not seem associated with constitutive activation of immune responses, as no detrimental effects on plant growth or development have been observed in these plants, in either axenic or non-sterile soil conditions (Lacombe *et al.*, 2010; Lu *et al.*, 2015; Schoonbeek *et al.*, 2015; Schwessinger *et al.*, 2015; Figure 2 and Figure S1). Thus, our findings that EFR expression negatively affects early nodulation but not infection events or nodule numbers, as well as the observation that nitrogen fixation was unchanged at later stages of symbiosis, support the notion that the perception of rhizobial PAMPs might have an early transient effect on plant nodulation but does not compromise rhizobial fitness, infection, or the ultimate establishment of a functional nitrogen-fixing symbiosis during the natural infection process.

Our data therefore suggest that during a natural infection process, *S. meliloti* either evades EF-Tu recognition or actively suppresses PTI in the host. While rhizobia are known to carry a flg22 allele of the flagellin gene that is not recognized by the plant FLS2 receptor (Felix *et al.*, 1999), the EF-Tu-derived elf18 peptide from *S. meliloti* is recognised by EFR (Kunze *et al.*, 2004; Lacombe *et al.*, 2010), suggesting that an immune evasion strategy is not conceivable here. Despite the manifold evidence that EFR expression provides efficient disease resistance, it is still unclear how the intracellular EF-Tu protein (and by extension the elf18 epitope) gets exposed to the EFR receptor during infection (Zipfel *et al.*, 2006). EF-Tu has been found in the cell-free supernatant from cultures of different bacterial species (Kazemi-Pour *et al.*, 2004; Watt *et al.*, 2005), and an active role for EF-Tu has been suggested during effector translocation via the type-6 secretion system (T6SS) in *P. aeruginosa* (Whitney *et al.*, 2015). Interestingly, EF-Tu was recently identified in bacterial outer membrane vesicles, which was linked to the ability of these vesicles to induce EFR-dependent immune responses in *A. thaliana* (Bahar *et al.*, 2016), illustrating a possible mechanism by which this potent PAMP can be released. While we cannot therefore completely exclude the possibility that rhizobia can control the release of EF-Tu proteins, this seems unlikely as legumes do not normally express a functional EFR homolog, and thus no selective advantage would be conferred through this strategy.

Previous transcriptomic studies indicate that rhizobia initially elicit an immune response, which is then suppressed as symbiosis proceeds (Breakspear *et al.*, 2014; Libault *et al.*, 2010; Lohar *et al.*, 2006). In addition, co-inoculation with *S. meliloti* suppresses immune responses normally triggered by *Pto* DC3000 in *M. truncatula* (Chen *et al.*, 2017). These results suggest that rhizobia have active mechanisms to suppress PTI. Consistent with this, it has recently been shown that the plant-growth promoting rhizobacterium *Pseudomonas simiae* WCS417 actively suppresses many transcriptional changes induced by the PAMP flg22 (Stringlis *et al.*, 2018). Plant pathogenic bacteria can suppress host immunity by secretion of effectors, many of which interfere with the canonical PTI pathway at different stages (Pfeilmeier *et al.*, 2016). Many of these PTI-suppressing effectors are translocated within plant cells via the type-3 secretion system (T3SS) (Macho and Zipfel, 2015). While there is evidence suggesting that rhizobia also use effectors to suppress plant immunity, only a few rhizobial effectors (Nop proteins) have been characterized (Staehelin and Krishnan, 2015). Rhizobial genomes encode several different secretion pathways, and the importance of T3SS, type-4 secretion systems (T4SS) and T6SS for symbiosis has been demonstrated genetically in certain rhizobial species (Bladergroen *et al.*, 2003; Nelson and Sadowsky, 2015; Sugawara *et al.*, 2013). *Sinorhizobium* sp. NGR234 translocates multiple type-3 secreted effectors including NopM, NopL, NopP and NopT to interfere with immune signaling (Dai *et al.*, 2008; Ge *et al.*, 2016; Skorpil *et al.*, 2005; Xin *et al.*, 2012). However, the *S. meliloti* strain 1021 used in our study, *Sm*1021, only carries a T4SS gene cluster for translocation of effectors into host cytoplasm, and deletion mutant studies showed no impact of mutating the T4SS on nodulation (Jones *et al.*, 2007; Nelson *et al.*, 2016).

Other bacterial mechanisms have been suggested to suppress PTI (Cao *et al.*, 2017). For example, Nod factors not only play a role in initiating and maintaining symbiosis signaling, but also in suppression of plant immunity (Liang *et al.*, 2013). Application of *B. japonicum* Nod factor to the non-host plant *A. thaliana*

resulted in reduced accumulation of the immune receptors FLS2 and EFR at the plasma membrane (Liang *et al.*, 2013). Although this partial suppression of PTI seems to be conserved in legume and non-legume plants, the impact on rhizobial-legume root infection has not been directly tested. Contrary to these findings, we could detect EFR accumulation in the nodules of our transgenic *M. truncatula* plants (Figure S5). Exopolysaccharide (EPS) production is a common factor among plant-associated bacteria and has been previously associated with evasion of PTI (Aslam *et al.*, 2008; D'Haeze and Holsters, 2004). Cell surface polysaccharides such as EPS, lipopolysaccharides (LPS) and cyclic β -glucans have been implicated in facilitating symbiotic interaction (Mithofer *et al.*, 1996; Niehaus *et al.*, 1993; Tellstroem *et al.*, 2007). Notably, the EPS receptor EPR3 from *L. japonicus* specifically detects EPS from its symbiont and acts as a positive regulator of infection (Kawaharada *et al.*, 2015; Muszynski *et al.*, 2016). Mutant strains defective in cell surface polysaccharides result in impaired infections or ineffective nitrogen-fixing nodules (D'Haeze and Holsters, 2004). For example, the succinoglycan-deficient *Sm1021 exoY* mutant induces immune-related genes more strongly than wild-type, indicating a possible involvement of succinoglycan in the suppression of immunity (Jones *et al.*, 2008). Additionally, purified LPS from *S. meliloti* can suppress ROS burst in *M. truncatula* suspension cells treated with invertase (Tellstroem *et al.*, 2007). However, the phenotypes of cell surface polysaccharide mutants are often difficult to interpret, because they seem to be specific for the type of polysaccharides, the rhizobial species and the host plant. It is therefore likely that cell surface polysaccharides contribute to the symbiotic interaction in multiple ways in addition to facilitating immune evasion (Gourion *et al.*, 2015). It will be thus interesting to investigate in future studies the exact mechanisms employed by rhizobia to suppress PTI. It is also conceivable that legumes themselves specifically suppress PTI in a local and timely manner in response to rhizobial signals to facilitate infection by their symbionts. For example, limiting trafficking of PRRs into the infection thread and/or peribacteroid membranes could restrict recognition of those PAMPs. In addition, it has been recently shown that the Medicago genes DNF2 and SymCRK contribute to the repression of plant defences via the inhibition of the ethylene pathway in nodules (Berrabah *et al.*, 2018).

The transfer of EFR has already been shown to confer increased quantitative resistance against different bacterial pathogens in a wide range of plant species, including tomato, *N. benthamiana*, wheat and rice (Lacombe *et al.*, 2010; Lu *et al.*, 2015; Schoonbeek *et al.*, 2015; Schwessinger *et al.*, 2015; Zipfel *et al.*, 2006). The present study expands this list and reveals that *EFR* is also functional when expressed in legumes (at least as demonstrated here for *M. truncatula*) and increases the survival of *M. truncatula* plants upon inoculation by the root bacterial pathogen *R. solanacearum* (Figure 4a,b and Figure S6). Thus, together, our data demonstrate that *EFR* expression can protect *M. truncatula* from the destructive root pathogen *R. solanacearum*, without compromising the overall symbiotic interaction with *S. meliloti*, which allows fixation of atmospheric nitrogen. Our results suggest that legumes can be engineered with novel PRRs without affecting the nitrogen-fixing symbiosis, and may also be relevant in the future as attempts to transfer this important symbiosis into non-legume plants are currently ongoing (Zipfel and Oldroyd, 2017). More generally, it also illustrates that the transfer of PRRs across plant species does not necessarily come at a cost for the plant, but actually increases its fitness when faced with aggressive

pathogens. It will be interesting in the future to expand the reductionist approach used in this study to test whether heterologous PRR expression affects the composition and function of other commensals in the plant microbiome. A potential effect on the microbiome would then however need to be reconciled with the absence of obvious growth defects of transgenic plants expressing PRRs in non-sterile soil, and counterbalanced in an agricultural sense against the benefit conferred by PRR transfer in term of disease resistance under strong pathogen pressure.

Material and methods

Bacterial growth

S. meliloti strain 1021 (also known as *Rm1021*) *pXLGD4 pheaA::lacZ* (*Sm1021-lacZ*) (Leong *et al.*, 1985) was grown at 30 °C in TY medium (tryptone 5 g/L, yeast extract 3 g/L) containing appropriate antibiotics, streptomycin 50 µg/mL and tetracycline 12.5 µg/mL. *Ralstonia solanacearum* strain GMI1000 was grown at 28 °C in complete BG medium (bacto peptone 10 g/L, casamino acid 1 g/L, yeast extract 1 g/L).

Plant growth

M. truncatula seeds were scarified with 98% sulfuric acid treatment for 8 min, extensively washed with water and then surface-sterilized with 10% NaOCl for 2 min. After washing with sterile water, the seeds were left for 3 h to imbibe water before being placed on inverted agar plates in the dark for 3 days at 4 °C and subsequently germinated over-night at 20 °C. For sterile growth, seedlings were transferred to squared 1% agar plates and sandwiched between two Whatman filter papers (GE Healthcare, Amersham, UK). Plates were incubated vertically in a growth chamber at 21 °C, with a 16 h light period and 80% rel. humidity.

For growth in soil, germinated seedlings were transferred to sterile 1 : 1 mixture of terragreen (Oil-dri UK Ltd, Wisbech, UK) and sharp sand (BB Minerals, Norwich, UK) for rhizobial inoculations, in loam based compost (John Innes Cereal Mix) for seed bulking, or in Jiffy Peat Pellets for inoculation with *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Plants were grown in controlled environment chambers with a 16-h photoperiod at 20 °C with 120–180 µmol/m²/s² light intensity and 80% rel. humidity.

Stable transformation of *Medicago truncatula*

M. truncatula ecotype R108 was stably transformed by *A. tumefaciens* AGL1 carrying the recombinant binary vector *pBIN19-CaMV35S::EFR-HA* (Lacombe *et al.*, 2010). Plant transformation was carried out as previously described (Cosson *et al.*, 2006) with some minor, but important, changes; *in vitro* grown plants, only, were used for the transformations, excised leaves were sliced through with a scalpel and not vacuum infiltrated, the *A. tumefaciens* culture was used at OD₆₀₀ = 0.4 and re-suspended in SH3a broth with 300 µM acetosyringone, the leaflet explants were submerged in the bacterial suspension for 20 min only, shaking in the dark, leaves were co-cultivated and callus generated with their adaxial surface in contact with the media, explants were washed in SH3a media broth post co-cultivation, excess *Agrobacterium* was eliminated on solid media using 320 mg/L ticarcillin disodium/potassium clavulanate and finally, callus growth was carried out in the dark for 8 weeks rather than 6. Five transgenic plants were recovered by somatic embryogenesis, rescued and selected on kanamycin plates. Homozygous plants were identified by quantitative real-time PCR of a segregating T1 population and confirmed in the T2 stage by responsiveness to

elf18 peptide. Two homozygous lines with only a single insertion locus carrying two *EFR* copies were identified and used for physiological and symbiotic characterizations. In addition, null segregants were isolated for each primary transformant and used as control lines. All experiments were performed with plants from the T3 population.

Rhizobial inoculations

Plants were grown in pots (50 or 80 mL volume) in terragreen/sharp sand mix for 2 days (infection thread counting) or 7 days (nodule counting and acetylene reduction measurements) before inoculation with *Sm1021-lacZ*. Bacteria were grown in TY to $OD_{600} = 1.5$, washed in 10 mM $MgCl_2$ and diluted to $OD_{600} = 0.0002$. Plants were inoculated with 5 mL of *S. meliloti* suspension equally spread across the pot. Plants were harvested at indicated time-points, carefully rinsed with water and stained with X-Gal for visualization of LacZ activity. Stained nodules were counted under a stereo microscope.

For late-time point experiments (e.g. 28 dpi), plants were grown in bigger pots (500 mL volume) to allow enough space for root development and were inoculated with 10 mL *S. meliloti* diluted to $OD_{600} = 0.0002$. Plant nodules were scored visually and were not stained.

X-Gal staining of infection structures and nodules

For staining of infection threads on plants grown in terragreen/sand mixture, whole roots were detached from shoot and placed in fixing solution containing phosphate buffer, pH = 7 (61 mM Na_2HPO_4 , 39 mM NaH_2PO_4 , 10 mM KCl, 1 mM $MgCl_2$) and 2.5% glutaraldehyde. Vacuum was applied for 5 min and roots were incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Roots were washed three times in phosphate buffer before staining solution (5 mM $K_4[Fe(CN)_6]$, 5 mM $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$, 0.08% X-Gal (Formedium, King's Lynn, UK) in phosphate buffer) was added and roots incubated in the dark at 30 °C over-night. Roots were washed in phosphate buffer three times and stored at 4 °C until analysis.

Stained infection structures were scored in brightfield mode using a Leica DMR microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). The infection events were classified into three categories: micro-colony formation at curled root hair, elongated and ramified infection threads and nodule primordia.

Ralstonia solanacearum infection of *Medicago truncatula*

After germination, *M. truncatula* plants were transferred and grown in jiffy peat pellets. For inoculation, the 1/3 bottom half of the Jiffy pots was severed then soaked in a *R. solanacearum* solution at $OD_{600} = 0.1$. Potting soil was used to absorb the remaining inoculum and spread on the bottom of the tray before putting Jiffy pots back on. Disease symptoms were scored daily. Statistical analysis was performed as previously outlined (Remigi et al., 2011).

Acetylene reduction measurements

Nitrogenase activity was determined by gas chromatography measuring the enzymatic conversion of acetylene gas to ethylene as previously described (Trinick et al., 1976). Infected plants at 28 dpi, placed in a 50-mL plastic vial and sealed with a rubber lid. Acetylene gas (BOC, Manchester, UK) was injected into the vials with 2% (v/v) final concentration, incubated for 1 h at 23 °C and 1 mL sample taken for analysis. Conversion of acetylene to ethylene by rhizobial nitrogenase was recorded on a Clarus 480

(Perkin Elmer) gas chromatograph with N_2 as the carrier gas set to a flow rate of 25 mL/min, a HayeSep N 80/100 mesh column, connected to a flame ionisation detector at 100 °C. Acetylene was applied in excess and peak areas of ethylene were quantified using TotalChrom Workstation software (Perkin Elmer) and displayed as relative units.

ROS burst measurements

M. truncatula seedlings were grown sterile for 7 days under 16-h photoperiod at 21 °C. Roots were cut into 3 mm segments and recovered in water over-night. Alternatively, leaf discs were sampled from soil-grown 4–5 weeks-old plants and recovered in water. ROS burst was measured as described previously. The water was replaced with solution containing 200 µg/mL horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) and 1 µM L-012 (Sigma-Aldrich), incubated for 5 min and topped up with solution containing flg22 or elf18 peptide (EZBiolab, Westfield, IN) with 100 nM final concentration. Flg22 and elf18 peptide sequences are derived from *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli*, respectively (Figure S2). Luminescence was recorded over 45 min using a charge-coupled device camera (Photek Ltd., St Leonards on Sea, East Sussex, UK).

MAPK activation and gene induction

For MAPK activation and gene induction, tissues from 13-day-old seedlings, grown *in vitro* on modified Fahraeus medium, were transferred to 24-well plates containing 0.5 mL water. Three leaf discs (4 mm) or 10 root segments (1 cm) were used for each condition. The next day, tissues were treated with 0.5 mL of either 1 µM elf18 solution or water. After incubation, tissues were dried on paper and then frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately for protein or RNA extraction.

RNA extraction and qPCR analysis

Total RNA was extracted using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Loughborough, UK) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA samples were treated with Turbo DNA-free DNase (Ambion/Thermo fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was quantified with a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (Thermo fisher Scientific). cDNA was synthesized from RNA using RevertAid (Thermo fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was amplified by quantitative PCR using SYBR Green JumpStart Taq ReadyMix (Sigma-Aldrich) and the PTC-200 Peltier Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). The relative expression values were determined using Actin as reference and the comparative C_t method ($2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$). The following primers were used for quantitative RT-PCR: *MtACTIN2* (Medtr2g008050) F: TGGCATCACTCAGTACCTTTCAACAG; R: ACCCAAAGCATCAATAATAAGTCAACC; *MtWRKY33* (Medtr3g031220.1) F: ACCAGGAATGGCAATGGAA GGTC; R: AATAGCCTCTTGGATGCATGGC; *MtMAPK3* (Medtr4g-061130)F: TGGTTGCTGTGAAGAAGATA; R: TGAGTTCGGTGGT TATGTAA (Chen et al., 2017).

Immunoblot analysis

Plant tissue was ground in liquid nitrogen and protein was extracted using a buffer containing 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.2, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 5% SDS, 2 M urea, 10 mM DTT and 1% (v/v) Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (P9599, Sigma-Aldrich), boiled for 10 min and debris removed by centrifugation for 2 min at 12,000g. Protein samples were separated on an 8% or 12% (pMAPK) sodium dodecylsulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and blotted on polyvinylidene

difluoride (PVDF) membrane (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Immunoblotting were performed with antibodies diluted in blocking solution [5% nonfat milk in TBS with 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20] at the following dilutions: α -HA-horseradish peroxidase (HRP) antibody (3F10, Roche), 1 : 2000; α -p44/42-ERK (Cell Signaling Technology), 1 : 3000. Blots were developed with Pierce ECL pico Western Blotting substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Equal loading of protein was determined by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining of the blotted membrane.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank technical assistance from the John Innes Centre Horticultural Services, and helpful discussions with members of the Zipfel and Malone laboratories. SP is funded by a studentship from the Norwich Research Park. Research in the Malone and Zipfel laboratories is supported by BBSRC Institute Strategic Program Grant BB/J004553/1. The Zipfel laboratory is further supported by the Gatsby Charitable Foundation. The work done at LIPM, France was supported by the Laboratoire d'Excellence (LABEX) TULIP (ANR-10-LABX-41). JAD thanks the John Innes Foundation for an *Emeritus* fellowship. Dr Zipfel collaborates with the 2Blades Foundation to develop commercial and charitable applications of plant disease resistance mediated by pattern recognition receptors. Dr Zipfel is an inventor on a patent filing on the *EFR* gene.

Author contributions

SP, JGM and CZ designed the study. MS transformed the plants. AM and NP did the pathogen infection experiments. SP and JG performed all other experiments with technical assistance and advice from SR, LS and JAD. SP, JGM and CZ wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

References

Albert, I., Bohm, H., Albert, M., Feiler, C.E., Imkampe, J., Wallmeroth, N., Brancato, C. *et al.* (2015) An RLP23-SOBIR1-BAK1 complex mediates NLP-triggered immunity. *Nat. Plants*, **1**, 15140.

Aslam, S.N., Newman, M.A., Erbs, G., Morrissey, K.L., Chinchilla, D., Boller, T., Jensen, T.T. *et al.* (2008) Bacterial polysaccharides suppress induced innate immunity by calcium chelation. *Curr. Biol.* **18**, 1078–1083.

Bahar, O., Mordukhovich, G., Luu, D.D., Schwessinger, B., Daudi, A., Jehle, A.K., Felix, G. *et al.* (2016) Bacterial outer membrane vesicles induce plant immune responses. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **29**, 374–384.

Berrabah, F., Bourcy, M., Eschstruth, A., Cayrel, A., Guefrachi, I., Mergaert, P., Wen, J. *et al.* (2014) A nonRD receptor-like kinase prevents nodule early senescence and defense-like reactions during symbiosis. *New Phytol.* **203**, 1305–1314.

Berrabah, F., Ratet, P. and Gourion, B. (2015) Multiple steps control immunity during the intracellular accommodation of rhizobia. *J. Exp. Bot.* **66**, 1977–1985.

Berrabah, F., Balliau, T., Ait-Salem, E.H., George, J., Zivy, M., Ratet, P. and Gourion, B. (2018) Control of the ethylene signaling pathway prevents plant defenses during intracellular accommodation of the rhizobia. *New Phytol.* **219**, 310–323.

Bladergroen, M.R., Badelt, K. and Spaik, H.P. (2003) Infection-blocking genes of a symbiotic *Rhizobium leguminosarum* strain that are involved in temperature-dependent protein secretion. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **16**, 53–64.

Boller, T. and Felix, G. (2009) A renaissance of elicitors: perception of microbe-associated molecular patterns and danger signals by pattern-recognition receptors. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **60**, 379–406.

Bourcy, M., Brocard, L., Pislariu, C.I., Cosson, V., Mergaert, P., Tadege, M., Mysore, K.S. *et al.* (2013) *Medicago truncatula* DNF2 is a PI-PLC-XD-containing protein required for bacteroid persistence and prevention of nodule early senescence and defense-like reactions. *New Phytol.* **197**, 1250–1261.

Boutrot, F. and Zipfel, C. (2017) Function, discovery, and exploitation of plant pattern recognition receptors for broad-spectrum disease resistance. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **55**, 257–286.

Breakspear, A., Liu, C., Roy, S., Stacey, N., Rogers, C., Trick, M., Morieri, G. *et al.* (2014) The root hair “infectome” of *Medicago truncatula* uncovers changes in cell cycle genes and reveals a requirement for auxin signaling in rhizobial infection. *Plant Cell*, **26**, 4680–4701.

Cao, Y., Halane, M.K., Gassmann, W. and Stacey, G. (2017) The role of plant innate immunity in the legume-rhizobium symbiosis. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **68**, 535–561.

Chen, T., Duan, L., Zhou, B., Yu, H., Zhu, H., Cao, Y. and Zhang, Z. (2017) Interplay of pathogen-induced defense responses and symbiotic establishment in *Medicago truncatula*. *Front. Microbiol.* **8**, Article 973.

Cosson, V., Durand, P., d'Erfurth, I., Kondorosi, A. and Ratet, P. (2006) *Medicago truncatula* transformation using leaf explants. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **343**, 115–127.

Dai, W.J., Zeng, Y., Xie, Z.P. and Staehelin, C. (2008) Symbiosis-promoting and deleterious effects of NopT, a novel type 3 effector of *Rhizobium* sp. strain NGR234. *J. Bacteriol.* **190**, 5101–5110.

Dangl, J.L., Horvath, D.M. and Staskawicz, B.J. (2013) Pivoting the plant immune system from dissection to deployment. *Science*, **341**, 746–751.

D'haeze, W. and Holsters, M. (2004) Surface polysaccharides enable bacteria to evade plant immunity. *Trends Microbiol.* **12**, 555–561.

Domonkos, A., Horvath, B., Marsh, J.F., Halasz, G., Ayaydin, F., Oldroyd, G.E. and Kalo, P. (2013) The identification of novel loci required for appropriate nodule development in *Medicago truncatula*. *BMC Plant Biol.* **13**, 157.

Du, J., Verzaux, E., Chaparro-Garcia, A., Bijsterbosch, G., Keizer, L.C., Zhou, J., Liebrand, T.W. *et al.* (2015) Elicitor recognition confers enhanced resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* in potato. *Nat. Plants*, **1**, 15034.

Felix, G., Duran, J.D., Volko, S. and Boller, T. (1999) Plants have a sensitive perception system for the most conserved domain of bacterial flagellin. *Plant J.* **18**, 265–276.

Ferguson, B.J., Mens, C., Hastwell, A.H., Zhang, M., Su, H., Jones, C.H., Chu, X. *et al.* (2018) Legume nodulation: the host controls the party. *Plant, Cell Environ.* 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13348>

Ge, Y.-Y., Xiang, Q.-W., Wagner, C., Zhang, D., Xie, Z.-P. and Staehelin, C. (2016) The type 3 effector NopL of *Sinorhizobium* sp. strain NGR234 is a mitogen-activated protein kinase substrate. *J. Exp. Bot.* **67**, 2483–2494.

Gibson, K.E., Kobayashi, H. and Walker, G.C. (2008) Molecular determinants of a symbiotic chronic infection. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **42**, 413–441.

Gourion, B., Berrabah, F., Ratet, P. and Stacey, G. (2015) *Rhizobium*-legume symbioses: the crucial role of plant immunity. *Trends Plant Sci.* **20**, 186–194.

Hacquard, S., Spaepen, S., Garrido-Oter, R. and Schulze-Lefert, P. (2017) Interplay between innate immunity and the plant microbiota. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **55**, 565–589.

Holton, N., Nekrasov, V., Ronald, P.C. and Zipfel, C. (2015) The phylogenetically-related pattern recognition receptors EFR and XA21 recruit similar immune signaling components in monocots and dicots. *PLoS Pathog.* **11**, e1004602.

Jones, K.M., Lloret, J., Daniele, J.R. and Walker, G.C. (2007) The type IV secretion system of *Sinorhizobium meliloti* strain 1021 is required for conjugation but not for intracellular symbiosis. *J. Bacteriol.* **189**, 2133–2138.

Jones, K.M., Sharopova, N., Lohar, D.P., Zhang, J.Q., VandenBosch, K.A. and Walker, G.C. (2008) Differential response of the plant *Medicago truncatula* to its symbiont *Sinorhizobium meliloti* or an exopolysaccharide-deficient mutant. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **105**, 704–709.

Kawaharada, Y., Kelly, S., Nielsen, M.W., Hjuler, C.T., Gysel, K., Muszynski, A., Carlson, R.W. *et al.* (2015) Receptor-mediated exopolysaccharide perception controls bacterial infection. *Nature*, **523**, 308–312.

Kazemi-Pour, N., Condemine, G. and Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat, N. (2004) The secretome of the plant pathogenic bacterium *Erwinia chrysanthemi*. *Proteomics*, **4**, 3177–3186.

- Kunze, G., Zipfel, C., Robatzek, S., Niehaus, K., Boller, T. and Felix, G. (2004) The N terminus of bacterial elongation factor Tu elicits innate immunity in *Arabidopsis* plants. *Plant Cell*, **16**, 3496–3507.
- Lacombe, S., Rougon-Cardoso, A., Sherwood, E., Peeters, N., Dahlbeck, D., van Esse, H.P., Smoker, M. et al. (2010) Interfamily transfer of a plant pattern-recognition receptor confers broad-spectrum bacterial resistance. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **28**, 365–369.
- Lee, H.-A., Lee, H.-Y., Seo, E., Lee, J., Kim, S.-B., Oh, S., Choi, E. et al. (2017) Current understandings of plant nonhost resistance. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **30**, 5–15.
- Leong, S.A., Williams, P.H. and Ditta, G.S. (1985) Analysis of the 5' regulatory region of the gene for delta-aminolevulinic acid synthetase of *Rhizobium meliloti*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **13**, 5965–5976.
- Liang, Y., Cao, Y.R., Tanaka, K., Thibivilliers, S., Wan, J.R., Choi, J., Kang, C.H. et al. (2013) Nonlegumes respond to rhizobial Nod factors by suppressing the innate immune response. *Science*, **341**, 1384–1387.
- Libault, M., Farmer, A., Brechenmacher, L., Drnevich, J., Langley, R.J., Bilgin, D.D., Radwan, O. et al. (2010) Complete transcriptome of the soybean root hair cell, a single-cell model, and its alteration in response to *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* infection. *Plant Physiol.* **152**, 541–552.
- Lohar, D.P., Sharopova, N., Endre, G., Penuela, S., Samac, D., Town, C., Silverstein, K.A. et al. (2006) Transcript analysis of early nodulation events in *Medicago truncatula*. *Plant Physiol.* **140**, 221–234.
- Lopez-Gomez, M., Sandal, N., Stougaard, J. and Boller, T. (2012) Interplay of flg22-induced defence responses and nodulation in lotus japonicus. *J. Exp. Bot.* **63**, 393–401.
- Lu, F., Wang, H., Wang, S., Jiang, W., Shan, C., Li, B., Yang, J. et al. (2015) Enhancement of innate immune system in monocot rice by transferring the dicotyledonous elongation factor Tu receptor EFR. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* **57**, 641–652.
- Macho, A.P. and Zipfel, C. (2015) Targeting of plant pattern recognition receptor-triggered immunity by bacterial type-III secretion system effectors. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **23**, 14–22.
- Madsen, L.H., Tirichine, L., Jurkiewicz, A., Sullivan, J.T., Heckmann, A.B., Bek, A.S., Ronson, C.W. et al. (2010) The molecular network governing nodule organogenesis and infection in the model legume *Lotus japonicus*. *Nat. Commun.* **1**, 1–12.
- Mansfield, J., Genin, S., Magori, S., Citovsky, V., Sriariyanum, M., Ronald, P., Dow, M. et al. (2012) Top 10 plant pathogenic bacteria in molecular plant pathology. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **13**, 614–629.
- Mendes, B.M.J., Cardoso, S.C., Boscariol-Camargo, R.L., Cruz, R.B., Mourão Filho, F.A.A. and Bergamin Filho, A. (2010) Reduction in susceptibility to *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *citri* in transgenic *Citrus sinensis* expressing the rice Xa21 gene. *Plant. Pathol.* **59**, 68–75.
- Michémore, R., Coaker, G., Bart, R., Beattie, G., Bent, A., Bruce, T., Cameron, D. et al. (2017) Foundational and translational research opportunities to improve plant health. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **30**, 515–516.
- Mithofer, A., Bhagwat, A.A., Feger, M. and Ebel, J. (1996) Suppression of fungal beta-glucan-induced plant defence in soybean (*Glycine max* L.) by cyclic 1,3-1,6-beta-glucans from the symbiont *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. *Planta*, **199**, 270–275.
- Müller, D.B., Vogel, C., Bai, Y. and Vorholt, J.A. (2016) The plant microbiota: systems-level insights and perspectives. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **50**, 211–234.
- Murray, J.D., Karas, B.J., Sato, S., Tabata, S., Amyot, L. and Szczygłowski, K. (2007) A cytokinin perception mutant colonized by *Rhizobium* in the absence of nodule organogenesis. *Science*, **315**, 101–104.
- Muszynski, A., Heiss, C., Hjuler, C.T., Sullivan, J.T., Kelly, S.J., Thygesen, M.B., Stougaard, J. et al. (2016) Structures of exopolysaccharides involved in receptor-mediated perception of *Mesorhizobium loti* by *Lotus japonicus*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **291**, 20946–20961.
- Nelson, M.S. and Sadowsky, M.J. (2015) Secretion systems and signal exchange between nitrogen-fixing rhizobia and legumes. *Front. Plant Sci.* **6**, Article 491.
- Nelson, M.S., Chun, C.L. and Sadowsky, M.J. (2016) Type IV effector proteins involved in the medicago-sinorhizobium symbiosis. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **30**, 28–34.
- Niehaus, K., Kapp, D. and Pühler, A. (1993) Plant defence and delayed infection of pseudonodules induced by an exopolysaccharide (EPS I)-deficient *Rhizobium meliloti* mutant. *Planta*, **190**, 415–425.
- Oldroyd, G.E.D., Murray, J.D., Poole, P.S. and Downie, J.A. (2011) The rules of engagement in the legume-rhizobial symbiosis. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **45**, 119–144.
- Pfeilmeier, S., Caly, D.L. and Malone, J.G. (2016) Bacterial pathogenesis of plants: future challenges from a microbial perspective: challenges in bacterial molecular plant pathology. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **17**, 1298–1313.
- Price, P.A., Tanner, H.R., Dillon, B.A., Shabab, M., Walker, G.C. and Griffiths, J.S. (2015) Rhizobial peptidase HrrP cleaves host-encoded signaling peptides and mediates symbiotic compatibility. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **112**, 15244–15249.
- Pruitt, R.N., Schwessinger, B., Joe, A., Thomas, N., Liu, F., Albert, M., Robinson, M.R. et al. (2015) The rice immune receptor XA21 recognizes a tyrosine-sulfated protein from a Gram-negative bacterium. *Sci. Adv.* **1**, e1500245.
- Radutoiu, S., Madsen, L.H., Madsen, E.B., Felle, H.H., Umehara, Y., Grønlund, M., Sato, S. et al. (2003) Plant recognition of symbiotic bacteria requires two LysM receptor-like kinases. *Nature*, **425**, 585.
- Remigi, P., Anisimova, M., Guidot, A., Genin, S. and Peeters, N. (2011) Functional diversification of the Gala type III effector family contributes to *Ralstonia solanacearum* adaptation on different plant hosts. *New Phytol.* **192**, 976–987.
- Rodriguez-Moreno, L., Song, Y. and Thomma, B.P.H.J. (2017) Transfer and engineering of immune receptors to improve recognition capacities in crops. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **38**, 42–49.
- Ryu, H., Lafont, C., Frugier, F. and Hwang, I. (2017) MAP kinase-mediated negative regulation of symbiotic nodule formation in *Medicago truncatula*. *Mol. Cells*, **40**, 17–23.
- Schoonbeek, H.J., Wang, H.H., Stefanato, F.L., Craze, M., Bowden, S., Wallington, E., Zipfel, C. et al. (2015) *Arabidopsis* EF-Tu receptor enhances bacterial disease resistance in transgenic wheat. *New Phytol.* **206**, 606–613.
- Schwessinger, B., Bahar, O., Thomas, N., Holton, N., Nekrasov, V., Ruan, D., Canlas, P.E. et al. (2015) Transgenic expression of the dicotyledonous pattern recognition receptor EFR in rice leads to ligand-dependent activation of defense responses. *PLoS Pathog.* **11**, e1004809.
- Skorpi, P., Saad, M.M., Boukli, N.M., Kobayashi, H., Ares-Orpel, F., Broughton, W.J. and Deakin, W.J. (2005) NopP, a phosphorylated effector of *Rhizobium* sp. strain NGR234, is a major determinant of nodulation of the tropical legumes *Flemingia congesta* and *Tephrosia vogelii*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **57**, 1304–1317.
- Staehelin, C. and Krishnan, H.B. (2015) Nodulation outer proteins: double-edged swords of symbiotic rhizobia. *Biochem. J.* **470**, 263–274.
- Stringlis, I.A., Proietti, S., Hickman, R., Van Verk, M.C., Zamioudis, C. and Pieterse, C.M.J. (2018) Root transcriptional dynamics induced by beneficial rhizobacteria and microbial immune elicitors reveal signatures of adaptation to mutualists. *Plant J.* **93**, 166–180.
- Sugawara, M., Epstein, B., Badgley, B.D., Unno, T., Xu, L., Reese, J., Gyaneshwar, P. et al. (2013) Comparative genomics of the core and accessory genomes of 48 *Sinorhizobium* strains comprising five genospecies. *Genome Biol.* **14**, R17.
- Tellstroem, V., Usadel, B., Thimm, O., Stitt, M., Kuester, H. and Niehaus, K. (2007) The lipopolysaccharide of *Sinorhizobium meliloti* suppresses defense-associated gene expression in cell cultures of the host plant *Medicago truncatula*. *Plant Physiol.* **143**, 825–837.
- Tirichine, L., Imaizumi-Anraku, H., Yoshida, S., Murakami, Y., Madsen, L.H., Miwa, H., Nakagawa, T. et al. (2006) Deregulation of a Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent kinase leads to spontaneous nodule development. *Nature*, **441**, 1153–1156.
- Trinick, M.J., Dilworth, M.J. and Grounds, M. (1976) Factors affecting reduction of acetylene by root-nodules of *Lupinus* species. *New Phytol.* **77**, 359–370.
- Tripathi, J.N., Lorenzen, J., Bahar, O., Ronald, P. and Tripathi, L. (2014) Transgenic expression of the rice Xa21 pattern-recognition receptor in banana (*Musa* sp.) confers resistance to *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *musacearum*. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **12**, 663–673.
- Udvardi, M. and Poole, P.S. (2013) Transport and metabolism in legume-rhizobia symbioses. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **64**, 781–805.
- Vailleau, F., Sartorel, E., Jardinaud, M.F., Chardon, F., Genin, S., Hugué, T., Gentzbitel, L. et al. (2007) Characterization of the interaction between the bacterial wilt pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum* and the model legume plant *Medicago truncatula*. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **20**, 159–167.
- Wang, C., Yu, H., Luo, L., Duan, L., Cai, L., He, X., Wen, J. et al. (2016) *NODULES WITH ACTIVATED DEFENSE 1* is required for maintenance of rhizobial endosymbiosis in *Medicago truncatula*. *New Phytol.* **212**, 176–191.

- Watt, S.A., Wilke, A., Patschkowski, T. and Niehaus, K. (2005) Comprehensive analysis of the extracellular proteins from *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris* B100. *Proteomics*, **5**, 153–167.
- Whitney, J.C., Quentin, D., Sawai, S., LeRoux, M., Harding, B.N., Ledvina, H.E., Tran, B.Q. et al. (2015) An interbacterial NAD(P)(+) glycohydrolase toxin requires elongation factor Tu for delivery to target cells. *Cell*, **163**, 607–619.
- Xin, D.-W., Liao, S., Xie, Z.-P., Hann, D.R., Steinle, L., Boller, T. and Staehelin, C. (2012) Functional analysis of NopM, a novel E3 ubiquitin ligase (NEL) domain effector of *Rhizobium* sp. strain NGR234. *PLoS Pathog.* **8**, e1002707.
- Zgadaj, R., James, E.K., Kelly, S., Kawaharada, Y., de Jonge, N., Jensen, D.B., Madsen, L.H. et al. (2015) A legume genetic framework controls infection of nodules by symbiotic and endophytic bacteria. *PLoS Genet.* **11**, e1005280.
- Zipfel, C. and Oldroyd, G.E. (2017) Plant signalling in symbiosis and immunity. *Nature*, **543**, 328–336.
- Zipfel, C., Kunze, G., Chinchilla, D., Caniard, A., Jones, J.D., Boller, T. and Felix, G. (2006) Perception of the bacterial PAMP EF-Tu by the receptor EFR restricts *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation. *Cell*, **125**, 749–760.

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Figure S1 *EFR* expression does not affect development of *M. truncatula*.

Figure S2 Alignment of elf18 peptide sequences.

Figure S3 *M. truncatula* responds to flg22 peptide.

Figure S4 MAPK activation and marker gene induction is induced upon elf18 treatment in *EFR*-expressing lines.

Figure S5 Transgenic *EFR-Medicago* roots and nodules accumulate EFR.

Figure S6 *EFR* expression in *M. truncatula* provides quantitative resistance against the pathogen *R. solanacearum*.

Figure S7 Dose-dependent ROS response of *M. truncatula* roots from *EFR*-expressing lines 26-8 and 18-1 to elf18 peptide.